

Respondent	1) Change to the oversubscription criteria to include 'previously cared for children' as a first priority alongside 'Cared for Children'.	2) Proposed removal of criterion 2 Children for whom there are particular medical or social reasons	3) Proposed re-zoning arrangements	4) Proposals to vary some schools published admission numbers for September 2013 admissions, in line with net capacities
Parent Governor	No View	No View	No View	Do Not Support
Governor	Support	Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support
Parent	Support	Support	No View	No View
Parent/Governor, Macclesfield Primary School	No View	No View	No View	Support LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield.
Governor/School staff, Macclesfield Primary School	No View	No View	No View	Support LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield.
School staff, Macclesfield Primary School	Support	No View	No View	Support LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield.

School staff, Puss Bank Primary School	No View	No View	No View	Does not support the LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield primary schools
Parent/Governor, Macclesfield Primary School	No View	No View	No View	Support LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield.

Parent/Governor, Macclesfield Primary School	No View	No View	No View	Support LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield.
Governor/School Staff, Sandbach	Support	Support	Support	Do Not Support
Chair of Governors Macclesfield Primary School	No View	No View	No View	Support LA recommendation that PANs should not be increased in Macclesfield.

**Consultation on Arrangements for 2013
Feedback Received.**

DRAFT

Appendix 3

Comments received
No comments received
No comments received
As an adoptive parent and foster carer this proposal (1) is welcome.
I believe that raising the admission numbers of Macclesfield schools would be to the detriment of all schools in the town as there are still surplus places across Macclesfield. Schools that are not full find it very difficult to provide appropriate resources and educational provision. Increases of this nature could result in all schools returning to a surplus place situation
As school admissions are falling all over the Macclesfield area, there seems to be no rationale to put up some schools PAN. This will create a them and us situation between schools which is helpful to no-one.
I support the LA in declining proposed increases to admission numbers for a number of Macclesfield Primary Schools. I am very concerned that allowing these schools to increase their PAN would bring about a return to the situation in the very recent past where a large number of schools had surplus places. Whilst I appreciate that some schools numbers are difficult to organise into classes, I do not believe that it is in the best interest of pupils in the town to have a large number of schools with surplus places as it makes it difficult for schools to plan for the future with any certainty. Increasing the PAN of any school has a negative impact on other local schools making it difficult for all schools to run in a cost effective way. It also encourages parents to move from school to school during accademic years and Key Stages when they have a concern, which is disruptive to children's education, rather than work with the school to resolve problems.

**Consultation on Arrangements for 2013
Feedback Received.**

DRAFT

Appendix 3

This letter is further support for our application to increase the school's PAN. When considering our application, there are additional pressures on the school, from parents, which we feel must be taken into account. Parental choice has been a key selling point for recent governments. With so many of our surrounding schools also being full in the younger age groups, we believe that increasing our PAN would offer parents real choices. This is particularly important for parents on Hurdsfield Road and Higher Hurdsfield. Parents from these areas often choose our school because their children don't have to cross a busy road on their journey to school. I know that the Local Authority, like the school, place childrens' safety at the top of their agenda. Parental choice has been a key selling point for recent governments. Secondly, a recent investigation of planning applications and new-builds in our area shows that the number of new houses which have just been completed or will be completed in the near future would strongly support a need for an increase in the number of school places available. A document listing these is included

I am a parent governor at The Marlborough Primary School and I object to the raising admission numbers of selected schools in Macclesfield. There are surplus places across Macclesfield now and to raise the admission levels at selected schools would surely be to the detriment of all. When a class is not full it becomes very difficult to provide appropriate resources and education; changes in admission policy would have this effect on some schools with the result that, in the round, a poorer education provision would be available across Macclesfield. We are very proud of the progress made at Marlborough over the past couple of years and our admission numbers are rising due to increased parental confidence and an improving reputation but we still have some places which we need to fill to ensure the very best balance of education and value for money. I don't believe that selectively changing the admissions numbers across Macclesfield will help Marlborough, or indeed any of the other schools in the town.

**Consultation on Arrangements for 2013
Feedback Received.**

DRAFT

Appendix 3

I'm a parent governor at Marlborough Primary School and I want to object to current proposal to raise admission numbers of selected schools in Macclesfield. We've worked hard and made good progress at Marlborough Primary School over recent years and our admission numbers are rising. This is due to increased parental confidence and an improving reputation. However, we still have some places which we need to fill to ensure full classes. Without doing so, it will be increasingly difficult to deliver the educational standards our children deserve. Given that there are currently surplus places across Macclesfield, raising the admission levels at selected schools would in my opinion be detrimental to all schools in the town. When a class is not full it becomes very difficult to provide appropriate resources and education. The proposed changes in admission policy would negatively impact some schools, resulting in poorer education provision across Macclesfield. Selectively changing the admissions numbers across Macclesfield will not help Marlborough Primary School or any other school in the town.

Regarding the proposed increase in the PAN for Elworth CE Primary School, the Governors feel that this would adversely affect the other schools in Sandbach. The proposed housing developments will not have any impact in generating additional pupil numbers yet. Until a physical requirement is present in Elworth and/or Sandbach, the Governors of Elworth Hall Primary School feel that the change in the PAN of Elworth CE Primary School could adversely affect their school.

I am Chair of Governors at Marlborough Primary School in Tytherington, and this would have a huge impact on the intake in our school. My view is that currently all the local primary schools are struggling to fill their intake and this would cause an unnecessary imbalance. Our current projected intake is much lower than our PAN and therefore we will potentially have massive budget issues, plus also we may need to release staff, which in the current climate is not ideal.